arXiv:0801.0044v1 [gr-qc] 29 Dec 2007

COSMOLOGY OF GRAVITATIONAL VACUUM

Vladimir Burdyuzha[*]
Astro-Space Center, Lebedev Physical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Profsoyuznaya 84/32, Moscow 117810, Russia

Jose Antonio de Freitas Pacheco[†]
Observatoire de la Cote d'Azur, bld.de l Observatoire, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

Grigoriy Vereshkov[‡]
Department of Physics, Rostov State University, Stachki 194,Rostov/Don 344104, Russia
(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Production of gravitational vacuum defects and their contribution to the energy density of our Universe are discussed. These topological microstructures (defects) could be produced in the result of creation of the Universe from "nothing" when a gravitational vacuum condensate has appeared. They must be isotropically distributed over the isotropic expanding Universe. After Universe inflation these microdefects are smoothed, stretched and broken up. A part of them could survive and now they are perceived as the structures of Λ-term and an unclustered dark matter. It is shown that the parametrization noninvariance of the Wheeler-De Witt equation can be used to describe phenomenologically vacuum topological defects of different dimensions (worm-holes, micromembranes, microstrings and monopoles). The mathematical illustration of these processes may be the spontaneous breaking of the local Lorentz-invariance of the quasi-classical equations of gravity. Probably the gravitational vacuum condensate has fixed time in our Universe. Besides, 3-dimensional topological defects renormalize Λ-term.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Today again we have a new cosmological paradigm. Cosmological acceleration of the Universe may be explained by a metastable vacuum state in which our Universe is found[1]. This metastable vacuum state is probably a state of string landscape. The progress in string theory[2],[3] led to the discovery of a huge quantity of vacuum solutions which were called string landscape (testing of string theory with CMB can find in the article[4]). Research of these ideas combined with ideas of eternal inflation [5],[6] have resulted the development of the idea of inflationary multiverse[7]. Therefore our Universe may be one realization of eternaly inflationary multiverse.

The vacuum of our Universe has also a complex structure[8] and it consists of some subsystems but here we shall discuss only the gravitation vacuum subsystem. Cosmology of gravitational vacuum has not been practically discussed elsewhere although the influence of gravity on vacuum has been considered[9]. On the other hand cosmology of other vacua is often discussed in the context of the Λ-term problem[10]. Note, that probably dark energy, cosmological constant, Λ-term and vacuum energy are the same notion. We are going to consider a gravitational vacuum condensate which might been produced in the result of creation of the Universe from "nothing" (this gravitational vacuum condensate is a gravitational vacuum by definition of quantum vacua).

Gravity plays the central role among the fundamental interactions determining the spacetime structure and it it is an arena of physical reality[11]. The question is to find the internal structure of gravitational vacuum starting from the quantum regime. The quantum regime of gravity has not been satisfactory explained although some analysis of the problem has been undertaken[12], of course. Besides, there is some analogy between the known vacuum structures and a hypothetical structure of the gravitational vacuum (it is known that condensates of the quark-gluon type consist of topological structures - instantons).

The general representation of topological defects can be classified as: 1) 3-dimensional topological structures ($D = 3$) -worm holes; 2) 2-dimensional topological structures ($D = 2$) -membranes; 3) 1-dimensional topological structures ($D = 1$) -strings; 4) point defects (singularities) ($D = 0$) - gas of topological monopoles. The full theory of vacuum defects is absent although we understand that the presence of defects breaks the symmetry of a system. The difficult

---

[*] Electronic address: burdyuzh@asc.rssi.ru
[†] Electronic address: pacheco@obs-nice.fr
[‡] Electronic address: gveresh@mail.ru

question is to know that type of symmetry breaking the quantum theory of gravity gives rise the presence of the topological defects of the gravitational vacuum. Here it is necessary to include the experience of vacuum physics.

From a general point of view we may assume that strong fluctuations of topology might take place at the Planck scale. Probably there might also exist some stable structures averaged characteristics of which have been constant or slowly changing in time. Besides, we must take into account that among possible parametrization-noninvariant potentials of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation there are ones topological properties of which may be used for the macroscopic description of a gas of topological defects (worm-holes, micromembranes, microstrings and monopoles). This allows us to suggest a hypothesis on which the future theory of quantum gravity may be solved considering the combination of the problem of quantum topological structures and the problem of the parametrization-noninvariance of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. In the frame of this hypothesis based on mathematical observations and analogies we suggest the mathematical apparatus of systematic modelling of the minisuperspace metric and the effective potential permitting us to choose structures which are interest from the cosmological point of view.

For example, we would like to discuss energy-momentum characteristics of the gravitational vacuum which depend on the radius of a closed Universe. Our arguments are only heuristic:

1. This is the simplest mathematical model;
2. Our model is agreed with the general property of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for a closed isotropic Universe. It is known that the gauge invariance of classical theory of gravity has an additional aspect - the parametrizational invariance of the regarding choice of variables on which the gauge conditions are set. In the classical theory a parametrization and a gauge is a single operation and the division of it on separate steps is conditional. In the quantum geometrodynamics (QGD) the situation is different - the basic equations of QGD are gauge invariant but the parametrization is gauge noninvariant[13]. Physical consequences of the parametrizational noninvariance are not general. Some authors[14] have suggested to reject this problem and to fix the choice of gauge variables by some definite way;
3. After introduction in cosmology of the quintessence scenario it has been conjectured that a gravitation vacuum condensate may be considered as a possible factor of the breaking of the local vacuum Lorentz-invariance.

Of course, the gravitational vacuum condensate (as well as its structures) might appear after the first relativistic phase transition[15] but in this article we remain in the frame of Wheeler-DeWitt approach and assume that the phase transitions are absent.

Here it is necessary to stress the following important point related to the notion of time. As it is known time is one of the coordinates describing a distorted 4-dim Riemann manifold. Appearance of time after production of the gravitational vacuum condensate fixes the nonconservation of the vacuum symmetry regarding space-time transformations of the Einstein's theory. Generally speaking the nature of the full vacuum energy dependence from time is unknown and the mathematical description of it has a character of discussion. Models of quintessence[16] appeal mainly to classical (e.g. scalar) fields. We shall show that in the quasi-classical approach the quintessence can be mimicked by mathematical structures arising naturally from the Wheeler-DeWitt's quantum geometrodynamics. Under the full vacuum energy of the Universe Ω_Λ=0.7 we understand a "sum" of all vacuum condensates which have been produced after the relativistic phase transitions during early Universe evolution. These vacuum condensates might probably compensate the initial vacuum energy $\Omega_\Lambda = 1$ if the Universe has been born from "nothing". But this quintessence period of the Universe evolution was not long. It continues less than one second[17].

II. BASIC STATEMENTS

The Universe creation is probably a quantum geometrodynamical transition from "nothing" (the state of "nothing" has geometry of zero volume (a=0)) in the state of a closed 3-space of small sizes having some particles and fields. This means that the closed isotropic Universe has been created through tunnelling process[18] with a metric:

$$ds^2 = N^2 dt^2 - a^2(t) dl^2 \tag{1}$$

$$dl^2 = d\rho^2 + sin^2\rho(d\Theta^2 + sin^2\Theta d\Phi^2) \tag{2}$$

here $N = \sqrt{g_{00}}$ is a gauge variable which is necessary to fix before the solution of Einstein's equations; and $T^\mu_\nu = diag(\epsilon, -p, -p, -p)$ is an energy-momentum tensor(TEM). We have restricted our consideration by only 3-space although a geometrodynamical transition in the state of a closed space of large dimensions might also be possible. As it is known in the modern epoch the vacuum energy (which is a dominant component of the Universe) has overcome the curvature and now the Universe accelerates $\Omega_\Lambda \sim 0.7; \Omega_m \sim 0.3$ and there is not contradiction that the Universe has been born close to $\Omega = 1$. Besides, the vacuum energy (if it was positive) was decreasing by jumps due to negative contributions during the relativistic phase transitions[15]. On the first stage we would like to work with the classical theory in which energy-carriers are described by the hydrodynamical TEM which is locally Lorentz-invariant (generally covariant) and which has the standard Λ-term. For a closed Universe Einstein's equations are:

$$R_0^0 - \frac{1}{2}R \equiv 3(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{N^2a^2} + \frac{1}{a^2}) = æ(\epsilon + \Lambda_0) \tag{3}$$

$$R_i^k - \frac{\delta_i^k}{2}R \equiv \delta_i^k[\frac{1}{N^2}(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 2\frac{\dot{N}\dot{a}}{Na} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}) + \frac{1}{a^2}] = æ\delta_i^k(-p + \Lambda_0) \tag{4}$$

$$-R \equiv 6[\frac{1}{N^2}(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{N}\dot{a}}{Na} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}) + \frac{1}{a^2}] = æ(\epsilon - 3p + 4\Lambda_0) \tag{5}$$

Note that the equation (5) is the sum of eqs.(3) and (4). Here they have been written for completeness. In the isotropic Universe with metric (1) and the mentioned TEM when the Bianchi identity has taken into account the next equation is obtained:

$$(\epsilon\dot{a}^4) - \frac{\dot{a}}{a}[(\epsilon - 3p)a^4] = 0 \tag{6}$$

Assuming that $\epsilon = \epsilon(a)$, p=p(a) we have from eq.(6):

$$p(a) = -\epsilon(a) - \frac{ad\epsilon(a)}{3da} \tag{7}$$

If eq.(7) is taken into account then the components of TEM are:

$$T_0^0 = \epsilon(a)$$

$$T_i^k = \delta_i^k[\epsilon(a) + \frac{ad\epsilon(a)}{3da}] \tag{8}$$

These expressions are more controversial in the case of TEM of a gravitational vacuum. In this case a hydrodynamical velocity can not be used. Equations (8) may be combined in the noncovariant expression only when:

$$T_\mu^\nu(GVC) = \delta_\mu^\nu\epsilon_{GVC}(a) + \frac{a}{3}(\delta_\mu^\nu - \delta_\mu^0\delta_0^\nu)\frac{d\epsilon_{GVC}(a)}{da} \tag{9}$$

This expression is not local Lorentz-invariant (here GVC is a gravitational vacuum condensate). Taking the position of the gravitational vacuum condensate which is connected with parametrizational noninvariant of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation the special status of the time index should be understood. In the nonstationary Universe with noninvariant quantum geometrodynamics with respect to conform transformations of time the symmetry between space and time is broken. Of course, the problem is in the parametrizational noninvariance of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation $H\Psi = 0$. Probably existence of this problem indicates that quantum geomerodynamics of the Wheeler-DeWitt can not pretend to the role of the full theory of quantum gravity.

Now we would like to come back to discussion of equations of the model. Equation (3) is left without change. In equation (4) the factor δ_i^k is cancelled and the relation (7) is used. Same is done with equation (5). Then we have the next set:

$$3(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{N^2a^2} + \frac{1}{a^2}) = æ[\epsilon_s(a) + \Lambda_0] \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{N^2}(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} - 2\frac{\dot{N}\dot{a}}{Na} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}) + \frac{1}{a^2} = æ[\epsilon_s(a) + \frac{ad\epsilon_s(a)}{3da} + \Lambda_0] \tag{11}$$

$$6[\frac{1}{N^2}(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}-\frac{\dot{N}\dot{a}}{Na}+\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2})+\frac{1}{a^2}]=\text{æ}[4\epsilon_s(a)+a\frac{d\epsilon_s(a)}{da}+4\Lambda_0] \tag{12}$$

Here we had introduced the quantum index (s) which numerates matter and vacuum quantum states. The simple algebraic transformations of equations (11-12) bring to the next equation:

$$\frac{1}{N^2}(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}-2\frac{\dot{N}\dot{a}}{Na}-\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2})-\frac{1}{a^2}=\frac{\text{æ}}{3}[\epsilon_s(a)+a\frac{d\epsilon_s(a)}{da}+\Lambda_0] \tag{13}$$

which is one of motion in an isotropic Universe (equation (10) is one of coupling). Equation (13) can be brought in the form of total derivative on time of some quantity equal to zero:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{N^2a}+\frac{1}{a}-\frac{\text{æ}}{3}[a\epsilon_s(a)+a\Lambda_0])=0 \tag{14}$$

Integrating equation (14) and assuming that the constant of integration is zero it is easy to see that equation (10) is an identity coinciding with the result of integration of equation (14). But the first stage is not finished yet. The quantum theory of a closed Universe -quantum geometrodynamics[19] is based on the Wheeler idea of a superspace. This idea includes the manifold of all possible geometries of 3-space, matter and field configurations where the Universe wave function is defined. Before quantization of the classical theory it is necessary to impart the form of Lagrange and Hamilton theory with couplings. We are able to quantize only a Hamilton theory but the construction of a Hamilton theory precedes the construction of a Lagrange one.

Evidently that two variables must be presented in Lagrange formulation of the theory: the dynamical variable $a(t)$ relating to an equation of motion and some Lagrange multiplier $\lambda=\lambda(t)$ relating to a coupling equation. From an infinite number of possibilities of inserting of a Lagrange multiplier we have chosen a particular variant related with quantum geometrodynamics. In quantum geometrodynamics a problem arises which has not the classical analogy: it is necessary to formulate the procedure of operators ordering on a generalized momentum and a coordinate. It is assumed that the procedure of ordering must be based on the covariance principle of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the Wheeler superspace whose metric is $\gamma(a)$.

The explicit form of function $\gamma(a)$ is not fixed but this conception allows us to understand in which terms the problem of the parametrizational invariance is formulated. The above mentioned program can be carried out only when: $N=\frac{\lambda a}{\gamma(a)}$ where λ is the Lagrange multiplier, $\gamma(a)$ is the metric of a superspace. Then we have:

$$3\left(\frac{\gamma^2\dot{a}^2}{\lambda^2a^4}+\frac{1}{a^2}\right)=\text{æ}\left[\epsilon_s(a)+\Lambda_0\right] \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2a^2}\left(2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}-2\frac{\dot{\lambda}\dot{a}}{\lambda a}+2\frac{\dot{\gamma}\dot{a}}{\gamma a}-3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2}\right)-\frac{1}{a^2}=\frac{\text{æ}}{3}\left[\epsilon_s(a)+a\cdot\frac{d\epsilon_s(a)}{da}+\Lambda_0\right] \tag{16}$$

The mathematically equivalent equations can be obtained from the variational principle determined by some effective action. The gravitational part of this action is the known expression for an isotropic Universe:

$$S_g=\int\left(\frac{1}{2\text{æ}}R+\Lambda_0\right)\sqrt{-g}d^4x \tag{17}$$

Some standard operations have to be performed with this expression: transformation of this expression to the quadratic form with respect to the generalized velocity $\dot{a}$ by excluding the total derivative; integration over the volume of the closed Universe $V=2\pi^2a^3$; insertion of time parametrization. The effective action of matter and radiation is added to the received result using Rubakov-Lapchinsky prescription[20]. This prescription is enough simple: the energy density of matter $\epsilon_s(a)$ depending on radius of the Universe as well as the Λ-term have the mathematical status of an effective potential energy. Therefore, in order to obtain the right expression it is necessary to replace Λ_0 on $\Lambda_0+\epsilon_s(a)$. The final expression for the effective action is:

$$S_\gamma\{a,\lambda\}=\int L_\gamma(a,\lambda)dt,$$

$$L_\gamma(a,\lambda) = \frac{6\pi^2}{æ}\frac{1}{\lambda}\gamma(a)\dot{a}^2 - \lambda\frac{aU(a)}{\gamma(a)} \tag{18}$$

where

$$U(a) = \frac{6\pi^2}{æ}a - 2\pi^2 a^3[\epsilon_s(a) + \Lambda_0]$$

is the total effective potential energy accounting for topology of the closed Universe, the standard Λ-term and the matter. The variation of action with respect to $\lambda(t)$ gives:

$$\frac{\delta S_\gamma\{a,\lambda\}}{\delta\lambda} = \frac{\partial L_\gamma(a,\lambda)}{\partial\lambda} = -\frac{2\pi^2}{æ\gamma}\left(\frac{3\gamma^2\dot{a}^2}{\lambda^2} + 3a^2 - æa^4[\epsilon_s(a) + \Lambda_0\right) = 0 \tag{19}$$

which is equivalent to the coupling equation. The variation with respect to the dynamical variable $a(t)$ gives the Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\delta S_\gamma\{a,\lambda\}}{\delta a} = -\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_\gamma(a,\lambda)}{\partial\dot{a}} + \frac{\partial L_\gamma(a,\lambda)}{\partial a} = 0 \tag{20}$$

After some transformations of this equation we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_\gamma(a,\lambda)}{\partial\dot{a}} - \frac{\partial L\gamma(a,\lambda)}{\partial a} \equiv \frac{6\pi^2\lambda}{æ\gamma}\left\{\frac{\gamma^2}{\lambda^2}\left(2\ddot{a} + 2\frac{\dot{\gamma}\dot{a}}{\gamma} - 2\frac{\dot{\lambda}\dot{a}}{\lambda} - 3\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a}\right) - a - \frac{æa^3}{3}(\epsilon_s(a) + a\frac{d\epsilon_s(a)}{da} + \Lambda_0) + J\right\} = 0 \tag{21}$$

where

$$J = \frac{2\pi^2\lambda}{æ\gamma}\cdot\frac{d\ln(a^{-3}\gamma(a))}{da}\left(\frac{3\gamma^2\dot{a}^2}{\lambda^2} + 3a^2 - æa^4[\epsilon_s(a) + \Lambda_0]\right)$$

.

These equations give the set of equations of the Lagrange model. Of course, these equations must be considered in a combination. It is not difficult to see that for $J = 0$ the last equation is mathematically equivalent to the combination of the Einstein's equations discussed before. Thus we have proved that this model gives us the Lagrange method of the description of an isotropic Universe, energy-carriers of which are described by the functions of the scale factor $\epsilon_s(a),\ p_s(a)$. In the classical theory this result has only methodical character. However, we want to transfer these ideas to the quantum geometrodynamics where the Hamilton formulation is necessary. The Hamilton model can be built on the basis of a Lagrange model. Note that introduction of the function $\gamma(a)$ is the operation of parametrization. The index γ shows that the action and the Lagrangian correspond to a definite parametrization. The Hamiltonian of our set is built by the standard procedure:

$$H\Phi_s = 0 \tag{22}$$

$$H = P\dot{a} - L = \lambda(\frac{æ}{24\pi^2}\frac{1}{\gamma}P^2 + aU(a)) \tag{23}$$

where $P = \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{a}} = \frac{12\pi^2}{æ}\frac{\gamma}{a}\dot{a}$ is a generalized momentum. Note that the Wheeler-DeWitt theory also has the parametrization problems. The commutation problem implies that the operator p is not uniquely defined: $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial a} + f(a)$ where f(a) is an arbitrary function. The second problem is the ordering of operators in the Hamiltonian (this is significant for any nontrivial function $\gamma(a)$). The partial solution of these problems is proposed in the frame of hypothesis of covariant differentiation in a curved space. According to this hypothesis the Wheeler-DeWitt equation has the form:

$$-\frac{æ\hbar^2}{24\pi^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\frac{d}{da}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\frac{d\Phi_s(a)}{da}+\frac{1}{\gamma}[\frac{6\pi^2}{æ}a^2-2\pi^2a^4(\epsilon_s(a)+\Lambda_0)]\Phi_s(a)=0 \tag{24}$$

and the wave function of the Universe satisfies the condition:

$$\int_0^\infty \sqrt{\gamma}\; da\Phi_s^\star(a)\Phi_{s'}^\star(a)=\delta(s-s^{'}) \tag{25}$$

where $\delta(s-s^{'})$ is the delta function depending on the particular properties of the solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Unfortunately the hypothesis of covariant differentiation does not solve completely the parametrization problems of the theory. To stress the multiplicative redefinition of the wave function: $\Phi_s(a)=\gamma^{1/4}\Psi_s(a)$ is used and then the Wheeler-DeWitt equation is rewritten in the form:

$$(\frac{æ\hbar}{12\pi^2})^2\frac{d^2\psi_s}{da^2}+[a^2-\frac{a^4}{3}(æ\epsilon_s(a)+æ\Lambda_0+æ\epsilon_{GVC}(a))]\Psi_s(a)=0 \tag{26}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{GVC}(a)=\frac{æ\hbar^2}{192\pi^2}\frac{1}{a^4}(\mu^{''}-\frac{1}{4}(\mu^{'})^2) \tag{27}$$

Here the sign $'$ is a derivative of the parametric function $\mu(a)=ln\gamma(a)$ with respect to the scale factor. All parametrizational noninvariant effects are collected in the function $\epsilon_{GVC}(a)$ which we call the density of energy of a gravitational vacuum (a gravitational vacuum condensate (GVC)). As it is easy to see that parametrizational noninvariant effects are clearly the quantum ones, $\epsilon_{GVC}\sim\hbar^2$. The parametrization noninvariant contributions have not a obvious physical meaning since we do not know well their physical nature. These contributions have been arisen by reason of nonconservation of the classical symmetry on the quantum level. The experience of the modern quantum field theory tells that when a symmetry is broken a vacuum state is also changed. Therefore we called the parametrizational noninvariant contributions as the density of a GVC energy.

However, general symmetric arguments do not have the clear physical connection to the vacuum energy. In this situation the examples of QCD are useful. In the quantum theory classical conformal and chiral symmetries do not conserve resulting in appearance of a quark-gluon condensate. Probably particular vacuum topological structures exist in a gravitation vacuum and they are the consequence of the parametrizational noninvariance of the quantum geometrodynamics.

III. COSMOLOGICAL APPLICATION

In cosmology this means that properties of the topological microscopic defects of space on average are isotropic and homogeneous (isotropisation in the brane gas cosmology is also a natural consequence of the dynamics[21]). They are contained in the function $\mu(a)$. We propose that all topological quantum defects with $D\geq 1$ have the typical Planck size. On this reason breaking up of the defects resulting a change of their number in a variable volume $V=a^3(t)$ should take place. The number of defects in this volume can be estimated as:

$N_D\sim(\frac{a}{l_{pl}})^D, \quad l_{pl}=(G\hbar)^{1/2}=\frac{(æ\hbar)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{8\pi}}$, here $c=1$.

According to our estimates we expect that the energy density of the system of topological defects contains a constant part corresponding to worm-holes and members of the types $1/a^3; 1/a^2; 1/a$ corresponding to a gas of point defects, micromembranes and microstrings. Besides, the function $\epsilon_{GVC}(a)$ must contain additional members describing interactions of microdefects between each other. This corresponds to the next choice of the function $\mu(a)$:

$$\mu(a)=c_0lna+c_1a+\frac{1}{2}c_2a^2+\frac{1}{3}c_3a^3, \quad c_i=const \tag{28}$$

Then we have:

$$\Lambda_0 + \epsilon_{GVC}(a) = \Lambda_0 - \frac{\ae\hbar^2}{768\pi^2}\, c_3^2 + \frac{\ae\hbar^2}{192\pi^2}[-\frac{1}{2}\, c_2c_3\frac{1}{a} - (\frac{1}{4}c_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1c_3)\frac{1}{a^2} +$$

$$+(2c_3 - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_0c_3)\frac{1}{a^3} + (c_2 - \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_0c_2)\frac{1}{a^4} - \frac{1}{2}c_0c_1\frac{1}{a^5} - (c_0 + \frac{c_0^2}{4})\frac{1}{a^6}] \qquad (29)$$

Here as indicated above the last three members can be interpreted as the energy of gravitational interaction of defects between each other but their discussion is not the case since the quasi-classical dynamics is correct in the region of the large scale factor a. Note also that 3-dimensional topological defects renormalize Λ-term. Observable value of Λ-term should be:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 - \frac{\ae\hbar^2}{768\pi^2}c_3^2 \qquad (30)$$

while the term $\frac{1}{a^3}$ may be relevant to dark matter(DM). This gives the limitation on parameters of the function $\mu(a)$:

$$\frac{1}{3}\, l_{pl}^4\, [2c_3(1 - \frac{c_0}{a}) - \frac{1}{2}c_1c_2] = \ae M \qquad (31)$$

where M is a mass in volume a^3. As it is known the Wheeler-DeWitt quantum geometrodynamics is an extrapolation of quantum-theoretical concepts on the scale of the Universe as whole. The initial state of the Universe from QGD point of view was located in the region of small values of the scale factors in a minisuperspace. From the classical point of view the initial state of the Universe is a structureless singular state. Here we must postulate the defect creation of the Universe if it has been born from "nothing". After the creation of defects probably in our Universe the stage of quick expansion (inflation) has taken place. In the result defects have been smoothed, stretched and broken up. Some defects could have been left and give rise to the Λ-term and the unclustered DM. Physics of topological defects arising during the phase transitionshas has in detail been discussed by T.Kibble[22].

IV. CONCLUSION

We have discussed a possible quantum modification of the minisuperspace Wheeler-DeWitt equation due to operator ordering ambiguities and have proposed to interpret it as being due to various topological defects. We have taken into account that among parametrizational noninvariant potentials of this equation there are ones which have properties suitable to a macroscopic description of a gas of topological defects (worm-holes, micromembranes, microstrings and monopoles). Parametrizational noninvariant members arisen from the Wheeler-deWitt theory have not analogies in the local quantum theory of field. Their origin are connected with a global quantization. An appropriate degree of freedom (a scale factor of the closed Universe) does not satisfy to the asymptotical conditions used in the formalism of the local quantum theory of field. And what is more, in the local quantum theory of field this degree of freedom as a physical quantum variable is completely absent. Probably in the future theory of quantum gravity the problem of topological structures of gravitational vacuum and parametrizational noninvariance of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation must be solved together (authors[23] even attempted to parametrize the state equation of the dark energy). Also we have shown that the quasi-classical corrections (proportional to $\sim \hbar^2$) are completely defined by a superspace metric (that is $\gamma(a)$). This means that these corrections in the theory of gravity are not entirely determined by physics of the 4-dim space-time. In the frame of quantum geometrodynamics a part of the corrections having an influence on the evolution of the Universe in 4-dim is determined by physics of a superspace (that means that they come from another level of a theory). If we interpret these corrections as defects then this means that defects appear as a result of interaction of universes in this superspace. The development of these ideas may be realized in the frame of tertiary quantization.

Besides, we note that the property of the Lorentz invariance attributed to 4-manifold connects 1-dim time and 3-dim space. Here 3-dim defects (worm-holes) give a contribution in the Lorentz-invariant Λ-term. Quantum topological defects with D=0,1,2 give the Lorentz-noninvariant contributions in vacuum TEM. Thus, we emphasize that topological defects of gravitational vacuum are quantum structures produced at the Planck epoch of the Universe evolution (the Lorentz invariance at the Planck scale must probably be modified [24]). Besides, the important moment is also understanding that the gravitational vacuum condensate has fixed time in our Universe. Topological defects of the gravitational vacuum give rise to the Λ-term and the unclustered dark matter. Probably these ideas allows us to improve our understanding of main components of the Universe.

Finally we conclude that in the frame of the proposed hypothesis (the defect microstructure of space as a carrier of quintessence energy) a set of serious problems arises with no obvious solutions. At first it is the problem of evolution of the defects on different stages of the cosmological expansion. It is natural to suggest that in the frame of any scenario of the Universe creation from "nothing" the initial density of microdefects had the Planck value. The quick expansion of the Universe embryo with characteristic time of the order of the Planck time has led to destruction of a majority of microstructures and to transition of their energy density to the energy density of elementary particles. It is not excluded that similar processes can lead to some characteristic peculiarities in the spectrum of relict perturbations of density. Besides, equations which have been used in this research pretend only on a phenomenological description of the energy density of an extremely small amount of the microdefects which have been left after a violent period of their relaxation during the Planck epoch of the Universe evolution. In the post-Planck epoches when the characteristic time of expansion is much large than the Planck time scale by many orders of magnitudes one may hope that a more slow expansion did not lead to additional destruction of the left small amount of microdefects.

The preliminary version of this article can be found in[25]. Other time we underline that quintessence period of the Universe evolution might continue less than one second after it creation. In this period of the Universe evolution a vacuum component was changing by jumps during phase transitions. Vacuum condensates of quantum fields carried a negative contribution in its initial positive density energy[17].

The more general cosmological researches of vacuum and a new cosmological paradigm based on vacuum string landscape and eternal inflation in multiverse can be found in the articles[26].

---

[1] S.Kachru, R.Kallosh, A.Linde and Triverdi Phys.Rev D68, 046005 (2003).
[2] A.Moloney, E.Silverstein, A.Strominger hep-th/0205316.
[3] L.Susskind hep-th/0302219.
[4] R.Kallosh and A.Linde hep-th/0704.0647, 5 Apr 2007.
[5] A.Vilenkin Phys.Rev.D27, 2848, (1983).
[6] A.Linde, D.Linde, A.Mezhlumian Phys.Rev D.49, 1783 (1994).
[7] J.Garriga, D.Schwartz-Perlov, A.Vilenkin and S.Winitzki hep-th/0509184, 27 Nov 2005.
[8] V.Burdyuzha and G.Vereshkov Astrophys.Space Sci.305, 235 (2006).
[9] S.Coleman and F.De Luccia, Phys.Rev.21, 3305 (1980).
[10] M.Bordag, Phys.Rev.D67, 065001 (2003); P.Jaikumar and A.Mazumbar, Phys.Rew.Lett 90, 191301 (2003); A.Gomberoff, M.Henneaux, C.Teitelboim hep-th/0501152,v.1, 19 Jan 2005.
[11] S.V.Babak and L.P.Grishchuk, gr-qc/0209006; A.Zee hep-th/0309032.
[12] G.Preparata, S.Rovelli, and S.-S.Xue, gr-qc/9806044; S.Carlip, Rep.Prog.Phys.64, 885 (2001); T.Banks, hep-th/0305206 v.1; C.Kiefer, gr-qc/0508120, 21 Sep. 2005; D.Giolini and C.Kiefer gr-qc/0611141 27 Nov.2006.
[13] M.J.Duncan, Preprint UMH-TII-916/96; V.A.Savchenko, T.A. Shestakova, G.M.Vereshkov Intern.J.Mod.Phys. 15, 3207 (2000); Gravity and Cosmology 25, 18 (2001).
[14] S.W.Hawking and D.N.Page, Nucl.Phys.B264, 185 (1986).
[15] V.Burdyuzha, O.Lalakulich, Yu.Ponomarev, and G.Vereshkov, Preprint of Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics (YITP-98-50) and gr-qc/9907101.
[16] R.R.Caldwell, R.Dave, P.J.Steinhardt, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 1582 (1998); R.R.Caldwell and E.V.Linder, Phys.Rev.Lett 95, 141301 (2005).
[17] V.Burdyuzha, Phys.Lett., submitted (2007).
[18] V.Burdyuzha, O.Lalakulich, Yu.Ponomarev, and G.Vereshkov, Phys.Rev.D55, 7340R, (1997).
[19] B.DeWitt, Phys.Rev.160, 1113 (1967).
[20] V.G.Lapchinsky and V.A.Rubakov, Acta Phys.Polonica B10, 1041 (1979).
[21] S.Watson and R.Branderberger, Phys.Rev.D67, 043510 (2003).
[22] T.Kibble "Topological Defects and Non-Equilibrium Dynamics of Symmetry Breaking Phase Transitions" Eds.Yu.Bunkov.H.Godfrin, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands (2000).
[23] P.S.Corasaniti and E.J.Copeland, Phys.Rev.D67, 063521 (2003).
[24] J.Magueijo and L.Smolin, Phys.Rev.D67, 044017 (2003); T.Jacobson, S.Liberati and D.Mattingly, Nature 424, 1019 (2003).
[25] V.Burdyuzha, J.A. de Freitas Pacheco, G.Vereshkov , gr-qc/0312072.
[26] J.Garriga, A.Guth, A.Vilenkin hep-th/0612242,v.1,21 Dec (2006); S.H.Henry Tye hep-th/0610221 (14 Nov.2006); R.Bousso, B.Freivogel, I-Sheng Yang hep-th/0606114v.2,15 Sep.(2006); L.Mersini-Houghton hep-th/0512304, Apr.25 (2007); A.Linde hep-th/0611043,v.3,28 Jan (2007).